1	Table of Contents
2	Board of Canvassers Mee

Board of Canvassers Meeting.	1
a. Certification of General Election Results for the 26th Congressional District.	
Board of Commissioners Regular Meeting	
Approval of Minutes	2
Unit Updates	4
a. Executive	4
b. Legal	
c. Election Operations	9
d. Public Information	
e. Campaign Finance	
f. Information Technology	
Old Business	14
a. Update on request by Suffolk County Board of Elections Pilot Program efforts	14
New Business	18
a. Resolution to approve HAVA funding for Scytl MOVE Act	18
b. Resolution to approve funding for pre-election testing and post-election audit research grant	18
c. Resolution to apportion 100% of the 2011 Health and Human Services poll site access grant award	19
d. Discussion of new affidavit ballot envelopes	19
Executive Session	27
End	27

Board of Canvassers Meeting

Certification of General Election Results for the 26th Congressional District

[BEGIN AUDIO]

BOB BREHM: Okay, we're on and live.

JAMES A. WALSH: Good afternoon everyone, my apologies. We had a simple business that sometimes gets a little bit intricate, and that's what happened so my apologies again. We will start off with the convening of the Board of Canvassers for today and we have, for business--

All right, I'll read this as to what we're convening here.

We, the State Board of Elections, constituting the State Board of Canvassers, having canvassed the whole number of votes given for the Office of Representatives in Congress of the 26th Congressional District has enumerated the special election in said state on the 24th day of May, 2011. According to certified statements of said votes received by the State Board of Elections in the manner directed by law do hereby determine and declare and certify that for the 26th Congressional District, Kathy Courtney Hochul was by the greatest number of votes given the said election duly elected Representative in Congress, given under our hand in the City of Albany, New York this 13th day of June, 2011.

1 2	And is that a motion required on that?
3	DOUGLAS A. KELLNER: Second.
4	
5	EVELYN AQUILA: Aye.
6	IAMES A MAISH Obox all in favor?
7 8	JAMES A. WALSH: Okay, all in favor?
9	(Chorus of ayes)
10	
11	Opposed?
12	
13	(Silence)
14	
15	Carried.
16	
17	DOUGLAS A. KELLNER: And then we have sign the certificates.
18	
19	JAMES A. WALSH:
20	TAMPE A MALCH Medical and late 2
21	JAMES A. WALSH: Mission complete?
22 23	BOB BREHM: Mission complete.
24	BOB BREIM. Mission complete.
25	Board of Commissioners Regular Meeting
25 26	board of Commissioners Regular Meeting
20 27	JAMES A. WALSH: Thank you all, we'll now continue the regular meeting for today, Monday June 13th.
28	JANES A. WALSH. Thank you all, we'll now continue the regular inceeing for today, Monday June 15.
29	Approval of Minutes
30	Approval of Minutes
31	JAMES A. WALSH: The first item of business is the minutes of April 1st and May 10th meetings; can I have a
32	motion to approve or any additions or deletions or?
33	
34	DOUGLAS A. KELLNER: I move that we adopt the minutes as drafted.
35	•
36	JAMES A. WALSH: I need a second.
37	
38	EVELYN AQUILA: Second.
39	
40	JAMES A. WALSH: All in favor?
41	(Chorus of ayes)
42	
43	Opposed?

1	(Silence)
2	CarriedUnit UpdatesExecutive.
4 5	TODD D. VALENTINE: Aren't we going to do introductions first or
6 7	JAMES A. WALSH: Oh, that's true.
8 9	GREGORY P. PETERSON: We're making up for lost time. [LAUGHTER]
10 11 12	JAMES A. WALSH: Before we
12 13 14	TODD D. VALENTINE: another 30 seconds there.
15 16 17 18	JAMES A. WALSH: Everyone would like to know who the Executive Directors are, so we'll start off with introducing ourselves here and we'll work our way around the table and into the rest of the people who are attending here today.
19 20	DOUGLAS A. KELLNER: All right, Douglas Kellner.
21 22	EVELYN AQUILA: Evelyn Aquila.
23 24	GREGORY P. PETERSON: Gregory Peterson.
25 26	JAMES A. WALSH: My name is Jim Walsh.
27 28	TODD D. VALENTINE: Todd Valentine.
29 30	KIMBERLY GALVIN: Kimberly Galvin.
31 32	PAUL COLLINS: Paul Collins.
33 34	JOSEPH BURNS: Joe Burns.
35 36	ANNA SVIZZERO: Anna Svizzero.
37 38	PATRICK CAMPION: Pat Campion.
39 40	TOM CONNOLLY: Tom Connolly.
41 42	JOHN CONKLIN: John Conklin.
43	GEORGE STANTON: George Stanton

1 WILLIAM MCCANN: Bill McCann. 2 3 **ELIZABETH HOGAN:** Liz Hogan. 4 5 BOB BREHM: Bob Brehm. 6 7 ROBERT WARREN: Bob Warren. 8 9 **TARRY BREADS:** Tarry Breads. 10 11 **AIMEE ALLAUD:** Aimee Allaud, League of Women Voters. 12 **Unit Updates** 13 14 15 JAMES A. WALSH: Thank you, all. 16 17 Now that we know everyone we'll have the Executive Director's Report: Bob Brehm and Todd Valentine. 18 19 *Executive* 20 21 **BOB BREHM:** Do - - go first or do you want to go first? 22 23 **TODD D. VALENTINE:** You go first. 24 25 **BOB BREHM:** Well, one of the--ranking them, I guess in some type of a priority, Todd and I visited the City 26 Board of Election as part of part of our conversation with the senior staff on compliance with the 27 NYSVoter list maintenance provisions. After our last Board meeting Todd and I reached out to Dawn and 28 Pam at the Board; they invited us down to actually have a conversation with them about the functionality 29 of the system. Something we tried to do in 2008 to limited success, but the follow-up from that meeting I 30 thought was very positive compared to some of the other dialogue we've had as a genuine interest in how 31 the system functions and then how we can work together to overcome the outstanding obstacles. 32 33 They shared, Dawn and Pam, a report with their commissioners at their meeting on the 24th and then 34 Todd and I went back on the 31st and actually spoke with the commissioners. And the commissioners had 35 approved--at that time--basically changed a decision that they made earlier that prohibited the staff from 36 engaging in a conversation with us on the subject. And so it was a good sign that we were moving in a 37 more positive direction, and then on Friday George was able to actually schedule and conduct the initial 38 technical communication. You know, we talked about some items that needed to be dealt with both short-39 term and long-term, but that we couldn't really confirm all of what we described as should be able to 40 happen until the technical people could talk and start to put together what are the technical things we have to overcome, how long will it take for them to make that list, and then how long will it take for the 41 42 more integrated final phase of the implementation. And then there are a number of steps along the way to start cleaning up the back file that will need to take place no matter what we do. 43

EVELYN J. AQUILA: Bob, may I ask you, was this meeting reported to the Department of Justice? 1 2 3 KIMBERLY GALVIN: Tomorrow. 4 5 **EVELYN J. AQUILA:** Yes? 6 7 **BOB BREHM:** Tomorrow. 8 9 EVELYN J. AQUILA: Tomorrow, okay. 10 11 **BOB BREHM:** Unless they're watching right now. [LAUGHTER] 12 13 **EVELYN J. AQUILA:** No, that's important, right. 14 15 BOB BREHM: -- So that took, you know--16 17 **TODD D. VALENTINE:** They knew we were meeting though. 18 19 **EVELYN J. AQUILA:** Yes, I know but I wanted to know if the meeting was reported - -. 20 21 **BOB BREHM:** -- That took a great part of that period of time and other than that I think there was 22 a MOVE Act implementing. The Uniform Law Commission met in Albany and we attended that session; 23 they're attempting to promote a Uniform Law throughout the country that would deal with compliance 24 issues with the MOVE Act. There's very few states that have actually signed on to that program yet, but we 25 had discussions with--we participated in that session as part of our ongoing compliance with the MOVE Act. Other than that I think it's just the ongoing fiscal and end of legislative session conversations that 26 27 we've been holding, and routine staff issues. 28 29 **TODD D. VALENTINE:** Well, one thing I want to highlight is there we had--and George I don't know if 30 you'll get to that, but just since it's in front of you--is we had two retirements in the last couple of weeks. 31 Both from George's Unit in IT; we mentioned this in our report but it's worth saying, because they retired 32 and went on to--I don't want to say greener pastures--but different pastures. Both Dennis Caro and 33 Monica LaGue, and we had done a nice little send-off for both of them, each in their own way. But again we 34 want to thank them for their services; it's one of those things that we don't always - - highlight but it's nice 35 to see. 36 37 **EVELYN J. AQUILA:** But Todd, could I ask one more question? I'm sorry to be asking questions, but how 38 many federal bills are we trying to comply with at the present time? 39 40 **TODD D. VALENTINE:** I don't know that we count them. 41 42 **DOUGLAS A. KELLNER:** Hopefully all of them. [LAUGHTER] 43

EVELYN J. AQUILA: -- I know, and I think that's very good news - - I wondered if you could give me the

exact number of them if you know, because it seems like we have to let people go, let people go and all we're doing is getting more and more things that we must carry out.

TODD D. VALENTINE: -- Yeah, well I mean our federal--you know, it's hard to enumerate that, but if I try to think in terms of chronological stuff I mean with the Civil Rights, the Voting Rights Act and their earlier incarnations, something we always have to work with, the National Voting Rights Act (the NVRA) is something we continue to monitor as well as the more recent Help America Vote Act and the Military and Overseas Voter Improvement Act.

I mean, those are the main pieces of legislation that we have to comply with on the federal level.

KIMBERLY GALVIN: -- State statutes.

TODD D. VALENTINE: But, you know, they're all implemented not just on the federal level, but as Kim is pointing out on the state level as well.

EVELYN J. AQUILA: -- Absolutely, yes I know.

TODD D. VALENTINE: So, you know, we have our own state legislature. You know, they see a need and they ask us to develop programs to implement whatever enforcement, whatever area they want to enforce. And it's not done in a vacuum I assure you; certainly they hear it from many sides not just from the agencies but people outside of the agencies. So, you know, we try to make it clear whenever a mandate is placed upon the agency whether it be to the federal government--although that tends to fall on deaf ears--or comes with money--it's one or the other, it's never in between.

On the state side the money is a little harder to come by; I'm not saying it falls on deaf ears but they do hear it, but they put agencies in the position of having to prioritize goals because it gets to a certain point where it becomes impossible to do everything or to do it immediately. So you have to restructure the time frame in which do that and that's the reality.

EVELYN J. AQUILA: -- I wasn't asking that really for myself to be educated, because I know - -.

TODD D. VALENTINE: Yeah, I understand.

EVELYN J. AQUILA: But I think for the public to know that also we're implementing the new voting machines over these couple of years too, and these all take people and money and energy. And I think people get the idea sometimes that the Board of Elections, "Oh, two days a year they're busy," you know? And I just think we have sometimes made the picture a little larger so that people understand that there's far more here than they can imagine.

TODD D. VALENTINE: Yeah, I mean we have to be cognizant of that. I mean, we're part of a larger--yeah.

EVELYN J. AQUILA: -- So just saying two people leaving, you know, or whatever--you know, it hurts--it hurts.

TODD D. VALENTINE: Yeah, it does. I mean, while we appreciate that it's good for them that they--

EVELYN J. AQUILA: -- And that's the point I'm trying to make.

TODD D. VALENTINE: --you know, served the state well for these many years. And will, unfortunately, given the existing state economy, be very difficult to work with those holes in our staff.

EVELYN J. AQUILA: Thank you.

BOB BREHM: One item I forgot to add to the list: you know, we had over a period of time last year undergone the Federal EAC Audit of the spending of the Help America Vote Act. There were three items in their audit that at least two of them didn't take us by surprise: one, they responded in the audit that we needed to have the five percent match at the time we received the federal money and the five percent match had to be in an account earning interest. So they had--OGS had calculated the money that went in and what the lost interest was and we have that number now.

The second item they wanted us to have certain documentation to the staff members that were either full-time or part-time on HAVA. We had learned that--you know, it was one of those programs by the time we received the federal money to the time they gave us advice on how to do the program there was an overlap. So by the time they gave us the instruction on how to comply with the program from that point forward we had the records the way they needed them, and then we documented for those individuals with information after the fact that said what work they did. The auditor pointed that out; it's something we have to deal with the EAC itself.

And then the third item--and George has updated the report--was the Fixed Asset Audit for the equipment that we bought to run - -, so all three of those we have a positive answer and that answer will go to the EAC this week as part of our mitigation.

We have collected enough of the five percent match where we had other in-kind state expenditures. When we pay a state employee here, you know, out of state funds and they keep track of the project that they worked on related to Help America Vote Act we get credit for that as well as a few other programs that the State of New York does like the Poll Site Access. So we have more than accounted for the missed interest money, and that will be in the report that goes to the EAC this week.

So I think it's a positive report; I think we've overcome the issues but generally we respond and hopefully by August it'll all be resolved.

JAMES A. WALSH: Thank you Bob. Thank you Todd.

Legal please, Kim Galvin.

Legal

KIMBERLY GALVIN: Thank you, Commissioner.

Just to touch on a few things about the Department of Justice that Commission Aquila has already mentioned--they do pay quite a lot of attention to us even though there's nothing generally active. We have several fronts that they either make formal or informal inquiries on, on a rather relatively regular basis.

They have the NYSVoter situation with New York City and any updates there too.

And as I said they scheduled our next update to them tomorrow, so that we would be able to talk to the commissioners without any outstanding issues that we had before we reported back to them.

And then we also have another branch of the Department of Justice still calling for some military voter statistical information from the last general election. And this is the same group that calls about moving the primary and any progress or lack of progress that we on those issues. And they haven't started to ask about the presidential primary yet, but I can assure them that we're paying attention to that as well.

And all of those have, you know, have the consequences that they have at a state level, but they certainly have the federal implication as well. So we're doing our best to keep everybody informed and keep the wolves at bay, if you will, for a little longer until we see what ultimately comes out of this session.

To that end we continue to monitor and speak with our friends up the hill regarding any legislation that they pass that does, in fact, affect us. For example, I'm sure Liz will mention it today, on their agendas is an ethics bill that does require the State Board of Elections to do a variety of additional things. And so, you know, there's a lot of monitoring and comment on those sorts of things.

The cases that we've been moving along on; I mean the main ones are as you refer to them: Double Vote and Over Vote. The Double Vote case is near the end of negotiation I think with the terms of settlement. I know the Over Vote case has had a flurry of constant activity; Paul can update you on that.

 And then I'm not sure about—

DOUGLAS A. KELLNER: -- When you say--I mean, we're very close to settlement on those two cases—

 KIMBERLY GALVIN: I know we're very close to the Double Vote one; I think we're close to the Over Vote one as well.

DOUGLAS A. KELLNER: But there's no actual signed settlement that - -.

KIMBERLY GALVIN: -- No, in the Double Vote we have a final consent decree that was forwarded to us. Believe it or not I'm having a conversation with Bob Warren about pixels versus font size or things that are just absolutely incredible to me, but I think that case for the most part is--you know, you agree on a lot of things then you find out they don't use font size, they use pixel size. But anyway I think that they're all moving towards the end and for two seemingly small issues you would think they really have taken an exorbitant amount of time.

And the county, you know, is dealing with petition circulation and every possible permutation of ballot access inquiry that you could ever have. It is pretty crazy, some of the various scenarios that you hear out

so we've been doing our best to keep them, you know, up to date with the best information that we have. And I think--did I forget anything--if I forgot anything I'm sure Paul will fill you in; other than that, that's what we've been doing.

PAUL COLLINS: We're still waiting for word from the State Supreme Court here in Albany on our motion to dismiss the Nassau County challenge to the implementation of the new systems. We're still waiting on

1 2

And there's another case, another brief that's got to get filed in the Circuit, having to do with the ban on out-of-state petitioners which some circuits don't accept and the Second Circuit apparently has never precisely ruled on it although on a district ban they did strike it as unconstitutional. And that's it, and the—

another federal case challenging the constitutionality of using any type of voting machine.

KIMBERLY GALVIN: And the AG is appearing for us today on - -.

PAUL COLLINS: -- There is a case challenging the redistricting of the Nassau County legislature, which for some reason we were named as a defendant in. And the reason we were named is they allege in their complaint that we set the political calendar of the State of New York, which is probably news to the State Legislature. So there's a conference call on that at 3:00 o'clock today and I just would like to get out of that case; we don't belong on that case and hopefully we can get out of it without spending a lot of time and energy.

JAMES A. WALSH: Thank you.

PAUL COLLINS: But this is the time of year when we get all of the calls on petitioning and what have you.

DOUGLAS A. KELLNER: And legislation.

JAMES A. WALSH: Any further questions?

(Silence)

Thank you, Election Operations, Anna please.

Election Operations

ANNA SVIZZERO: Thank you, Commissioners.

Aside from the report that we have filed with you that's in your packet, clearly we prepared the documents following up to our election support on that special election that you just signed to certify the new congressional member from the 26^{th} .

We also have an update on voting equipment. We did conduct acceptance testing in Oneida County last week; acceptance testing has to be done at each county board now. That doesn't make sense to do such small numbers centrally and require the boards to pay all of that additional shipping, which means we're

1	paying our staff to travel to those sites. But we did do 27 units in Utica last week, most of them for Oneida
2	County. There were a couple of units for some neighboring counties who came over to Oneida and
3	cooperated with the testing there and they appreciated the hospitality that Oneida provided and also the
4	services that our staff provided.
5	TATE IN THE STATE OF THE STATE
6	We have an update to the certification notes that are in your packetES&S's update software is expected
7	to be here tomorrow. We're expecting Dominion's by the end of the week, so we'll be able to review those
8	and wait forwe do have some testing criteria that has to be defined yet by SLI and by NYSTEC, but we're
9	prepared to move forward with the functional testing that we'll be doing here to mitigate the costs of this
10	testing effort.
11 12	This morning Dominion Central Count System was delivered here; we're waiting for new equipment that
13	corresponds to this new software as well. ES&S is coming tomorrow to begin training using their new
14	software and I don't have anything else to add.
15	software and ruon thave anything else to add.
16	Joe, do you have anything?
17	
18	JOSEPH BURNS: Just on the ballot redesign and usability we have our session, our workshop, scheduled
19	with our expert Dana Chisnell for June 20th right here in the board room.
20	
21	KIMBERLY GALVIN: You know, Anna's birthday's tomorrow.
22	
23	EVELYN J. AQUILA: Oh, happy birthday Anna. [LAUGHTER]
24	
25	ANNA SVIZZERO: Tomorrow, tomorrow.
26	
27	KIMBERLY GALVIN: Yeah, that's what I said.
28	
29	EVELYN J. AQUILA: She said tomorrow, she said tomorrow.
30	
31	ANNA SVIZZERO: Thank you, Flag Day, thank you.
32	
33	JAMES A. WALSH: Make that part of our permanent record for this day, congratulations.
34	
35	ANNA SVIZZERO: Thanks for that parade yesterday, by the way. [LAUGHTER]
36	
37	JAMES A. WALSH: Okay, we have that session, we'll go on to the NVRA/Public Information, John Conklin
38	please.
39	
40	Public Information
41	
42	JOHN CONKLIN: Thank you, Commissioner.
43	

Just a couple of things we'll talk about in addition to the written report, the Annual Report is completed

and has been posted to the website and Tom's going to talk a little bit about the MOVE Act and the 26th Congressional District.

TOM CONNOLLY: Well, we did get our pre-election and post-election surveys back from all our counties, all seven counties; they were very cooperative in doing that so obviously I'll thank them for that. And looking at the numbers that came back we seem to be doing very well. Even though it's not an apples to apples comparison, comparing to the 2010 performance of the state we have improved in every segment both in how many ballots we get back, how many of those ballots actually get counted, and decrease the number that get rejected. So and that was pretty much across the board, so it was really good to see that and I think that's it unless anyone has any questions about that.

JAMES A. WALSH: Campaign Finance, Liz Hogan.

Campaign Finance

ELIZABETH HOGAN: Thank you, Commissioner.

Just a couple of points in addition to the report that is in the packet, obviously that report just continues to give you an update as to the day-to-day operations of Campaign Finance and Enforcement.

We are still waiting for the new reg, 6214 to go into effect, which establishes the higher contribution limits based upon the calculations pursuant to the cost of living increase. We're still waiting for that, I had hoped that we might see it by now but we have not.

Additionally, it's my understanding that there's language before the legislature today to be voted upon. I just want the commissioners to be aware that in the context of that ethics bill, as Kim mentioned, there are some additional responsibilities given to enforcement here at the Board.

First, let me point out that it's my understanding that the proposal in this bill relative to campaign finances that define the civil penalty for a non-filing will go from a maximum of \$500 to a maximum of \$1,000 should this pass and be signed by the Governor. And it is a program bill, so we're anticipating that'll happen.

I'd also like to tell you that there is a new provision that potentially will come here that has to do with the failure to file three or more financial reports in an election cycle relative to that candidate. The penalty in that is not to be in excess of \$10,000; it's another lawsuit that we would have to bring.

Additionally there's a provision that relates to a candidate or someone acting on a candidate's behalf or on behalf of the political committee of that candidate who accepts in excess of the contribution limit and does so with intent to violate the statute. If that were to happen it's subject to a lawsuit brought by the Board and the penalty would be the amount of the excess plus up to \$10,000 in penalty.

And then there is some language that appears in the Article 16 of the Election Law, which is relative to the enforcement proceedings that we bring in the Supreme Court. And it provides some interesting--shall I say some interesting factors that now have to be considered by the court relative to non-filings and the

actions we bring there too.

I would say that that is anticipated to go into effect and so I just want you to be aware that those are additional responsibilities that we will have.

WILLIAM MCCANN: [WHISPERS] When is it effective - -?

ELIZABETH HOGAN: It's effective immediately upon signature of the Governor.

I would also just like to discuss with you, and I believe we'll do that in Executive Session, Bill and I both want to talk to you about several pending litigations that we're involved in that we would like to bring to your attention for some discussion.

Bill, did you want to talk about the independent - - go ahead.

WILLIAM MCCANN: Sure, one of the other requirements in the bill of concern is the Board promulgating regulations relative to independent expenditure disclosure. What's an interesting aspect of that is that it's unique in that our current regulations don't, you know, spell out every specific iteration of what would trigger an obligation to file a campaign financial disclosure. The regulation language is rather short, but depending on how you read it, it does raise issues as to what level of specificity you have to go in the regulation to spell out disclosures of independent expenditures, which would be in effect by January of next year. So obviously we anticipate that that will pass and there'll be some future discussions with the Board and among staff to determine the implementation or what the scope of that language is and how to best implement it. But that is of significance and so you'll be hearing a lot more about it then.

ELIZABETH HOGAN: There is one other aspect to the ethics bill that does it go to a responsibility of the Board in terms of enforcement or campaign finance issues, but directly? But it does affect us and it provides that this new commission that's being established as JCOPE, that they provide an educational component relative to the Election Law, which concerns me a bit, because I really don't know what that is supposed to encompass or how that meshes with our position on providing an educational component relative to the Election Law. So that's an unknown.

WILLIAM MCCANN: Well, I mean I think the big concern there is, you know, if someone attends one of those trainings and they say, "Well, they told me X," and maybe we don't what the X is. You know, it's an issue of coordination and to what extent that would need to take place, so this raises some other aspects that we may want to look at.

ELIZABETH HOGAN: That's all I have.

JAMES A. WALSH: Okay ITU, George Stanton please.

Information Technology

GEORGE STANTON: Good afternoon.

In addition or in summary of the printed report that you have IT has still been working with the staff from Enforcement on finalizing the new EFS software. We've been getting down to the point of fine-tuning it now, so they've had the latest version for a couple of weeks I guess, and in between their training sessions and so forth in the field they've been going over that and coming up with any tweaks that we need to do to finalize that.

We've also, now that we've got HP back on board, they've been looking at what needs to be done to fix the NYSVoter System, so we can receive the new party information--the Green Party information--and the other couple of parties that we have to track now. And we'll be getting a handle on how long that's going to take here in the near future; it turned out to be a little more complex than I thought it was.

Also we'll be working on the MOVE system with Scytl to decide what data has to go back and forth between the NYSVoter System and the Scytl system to make all that work. And also what modifications have to be done to the communications between the county and the NYSVoter to collect the data we're going to need to pass along. So we'll be getting to work on that shortly I'm sure.

As Todd mentioned we did have two retirements in my unit: one programmer and one help desk person. That's a loss of a third of my help desk staff, so it's going to be - - with the largest filing we get on July 15th where everybody has to file. It's going to be interesting to see how that all works out; I'm sure they're not going to be able to keep up on a real-time basis with the calls, so people may get a little frustrated with the waiting times. We'll do the best we can.

The other thing is we did meet with the New York City Board of Elections technical staff to talk about catching up their file maintenance to do a short-term file maintenance for felons and deceased. We decided that we're going to, just to make things more expedient, send them a list of the current matches for felons and deceased voters so that they can work that list and cancel those that they find to match.

We don't have a plan for doing the same thing yet with the duplicates, the moves, because we need some input from both their execs and our execs before we go ahead with that. But we're talking about doing something like that similar to what they did a couple of years ago where they send out letters and cancel people.

The issue with everything, all three of those areas, is the fact that we--with the batch process like that--we have no way to handle the non-matches. So they're just going to remain in the system for the time being and they'll continue to show up as possible matches until we get a more permanent solution. And we've talked about, you know, what's possible--permanent solutions are. Of course, New York City is concerned about doing their bipartisan approval on every transaction that takes place. So we've talked to their vendor about the possibility of instead of the processing take the place the same as everybody else it gets sent back to their Avid system, which has that logic built into it for the bipartisan sign-off before it comes back to us and cancels the person and so forth, so we're just taking a look at that.

They're taking a look at it on their end and we're taking a look at it on our end to see what, if any kind ofwell, I know on their end there's going to be a lot of processing that's going to have to take place. And I think we're going to have to make some modifications too, but we're just looking at that from a high level

right now to see where we can go to make that happen.

JAMES A. WALSH: Thank you, under Old Business an update on the - - Suffolk County Board of Elections Pilot Program efforts, Anne and Joe.

Old Business

Update on request by Suffolk County Board of Elections Pilot Program efforts

ANNA SVIZZERO: We finally received a request outlining the pilot project that Suffolk conditioned for using uncertified software to accommodate a 30-inch ballot in the Dominion voting system.

They've laid out some groundwork; the letters I believe have been shared with all of you. We were unable to reach the appropriate staff to answer just a couple of minor questions for us. The only thing that I think we would add other than clarifying those couple of questions--and they had staff that was off on vacation last week, so they were not available to us--the only thing that we'd add that we shared in an email here in the agency was in that it's uncertified software when we did the pilot project in 2009 we added a feature to the audit that required that there be a 100 percent hand count of any contest where the percentage between potential winning and losing candidates was 1 percent or less. So if the Board is of the mind to include that in the proposal that Suffolk presented to us we have no objections to this pilot project.

We do want to make it clear however that it is new software, it is not completely developed yet, we expect to see it by August 1st. We intend to do some functional testing here before we will deliver it to Suffolk County. It is not even included in the upgrade software that's being tested right now, it would be in an additional upgraded version at some point next year. So we had that conversation with the vendor to be clear when this was going to be available to other counties. And we truly don't have any issues with it other than getting those couple of small answers to our questions and your consideration of adding that audit feature.

DOUGLAS A. KELLNER: Well, I certainly agree that we should add the audit requirement. Is there a timeline for formal approval by the Board; are you suggesting that we formally approve it today before you get the answers to those questions or that we would formally approve it at our July meeting and you'll have a resolution to submit to the commissioners?

ANNA SVIZZERO: I think that we would prefer that it be approved today; the questions are not make or break as far as the pilot goes, it just clarifies the use of the systems. We were under the impression that every Election District has its own scanner, so that even in sites that are served--one site that serves multiple election districts--you still have to go to your own scanner, you can't go to any scanner in the site. And when we spoke at the conference in April with the Board they kind of represented that that wasn't the case, so we're not sure if they're changing how they deploy scanners. Again, neither answer affects the pilot project; we just wanted to be clear how the systems were being utilized in Suffolk.

JAMES A. WALSH: I think we can probably, you know, approve the pilot project subject to some of the minor modifications or adjustments that Anna is talking about.

1	DOUGLAS A. KELLNER: All right, well if we're approving what's called Suffolk County Extended Ballot
2	Pilot Project Plan Version 6.03that's what we're being asked to approve?
4	ANNA SVIZZERO: Yes, with the addition of the audit feature.
6	DOUGLAS A. KELLNER: All right, and do we have the language? We're going to add that as the third
7	paragraph at the bottom at the first page?
8	
9	ANNA SVIZZERO: We can do that and I have the language from the 2009 pilot; we can simply copy that.
0	
1	DOUGLAS A. KELLNER: Well, do you want to read it so that we copy it in and we'llit's just that I think we
2	need to have approved text and if you'll recall this became an issue in the Chautauqua County electionthe
13	exact text of the audit provision was the subject of a lot of back and forth that was eventually
.4 .5	ANNA SVIZZERO: Suffolk's plan says they'll do a separate 3 percent audit of just the units that are doing
16	the 30-inch ballot, so that they're not missed in any way because they're part of the bigger picture. They
17	get their own drawing, so we're okay with that. The language from the 2009 pilot said, "A hand audit of all
18	the ballots tabulated by that device, if the outcome does not" I'm sorry. "If the outcome of the hand audit
9	does not match the canvass report, a 100 percent audit is to be conducted." That, I think we're clear on as
20	far the additionalthe break between the units being used that don't need 3 percent.
21	O
22	DOUGLAS A. KELLNER: Anna, Anna?
23	
24	I'm sorry, but it's very important to me if we're going to vote on something today that we have the exact
25	text that we're voting on.
26	
27	ANNA SVIZZERO: I have it right here.
28 20	DOUGLAS A. KELLNER: So you can't comment on it while you're reading the text, so either
29 30	DOUGLAS A. RELLNER: So you can't comment on it willie you're reading the text, so either
31	ANNA SVIZZERO: No, I was just explaining that they're doing the two sections.
32	THAT STEELE 10, I was just explaining that they I've doing the two sections.
33	DOUGLAS A. KELLNER: No, no, no you can't do that. I'm not going to vote on it if we don't have
34	somethingit's not in writing in front of me, which is annoying to begin with.
35	
36	ANNA SVIZZERO: Well, I have it right here: I can pass it up or I can read it.
37	
88 89	DOUGLAS A. KELLNER: You can read it, but then you can't comment on it until you finish reading it.
l0 l1	ANNA SVIZZERO: Okay, I'm with you"Conduct a 100 percent hand audit of all ballots in election districts when the difference in the result of the election between the potential winning and losing candidates in
ŀ2	any contested election on the ballot is 1 percent or less.

DOUGLAS A. KELLNER: So that's the additional language that we're adding?

43

1	ANNA SVIZZERO: To their proposal.
2	
3	DOUGLAS A. KELLNER: And that's being added at the bottom of the first page as the third paragraph of
4	addressing risks to the integrity of the election.
5	
6	[MURMURED CONVERSATION]
7	
8	EVELYN J. AQUILA: Yeah, I had wondered
9	
10	DOUGLAS A. KELLNER: Well, do you want to put it as ais that a better place to put it as a bullet in Phase
11	5?
12	
13	ANNA SVIZZERO: We could put it in both if you like.
14	
15	TODD D. VALENTINE: No, I don't think necessarily both, but maybe a third bulletyou know, a bullet
16	after the second bullet in Phase 5.
17	
18	DOUGLAS A. KELLNER: Okay.
19	
20	TODD D. VALENTINE: 3 percent okay.
21	YAMPO A YAYAYOYI I
22	JAMES A. WALSH: Is everyone clear on this?
23	WIMPERIN CALVIN V
24	KIMBERLY GALVIN: Yes.
25	DOD DDFIIM. And the second the election districts in the milet metals. EDe extends of the milet terms?
26	BOB BREHM: And those are the election districts in the pilot, not the EDs outside of the pilot towns?
27 28	IAMES A WAISH, correct
20 29	JAMES A. WALSH: correct.
30	EVELYN J. AQUILA: And isn't something
31	EVELIN J. AQUILA. And Ish t something
32	BOB BREHM: That's in the statute.
33	DOD DREIM. That's in the statute.
34	EVELYN J. AQUILA: Hmm?
35	EVELITY, TOOLER, THINKI
36	BOB BREHM: That's in the statute.
37	DOD DREIM. That is in the statute.
38	[OVERLAPPING VOICES]
39	
40	EVELYN J. AQUILA: Yes, yes.
41	,
42	BOB BREHM: Here's the actual highlight
43	
44	DOUGLAS A. KELLNER: Now where it says, "Expand the audit within the universe of pilot project
	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1

1 2	machines in the event of discrepancies," what does that mean, Anna?
3	ANNA SVIZZERO: This is what we understood the Board to say, that the audit would getexcuse methat
4	there would be a separate drawing for 3 percent of the machines used in the pilot project separate from
5	the 3 percent of the machines that were using the certified software.
6 7	DOUGLAS A. KELLNER: So the escalation provisions would apply just to the pilot project, but would be
8	the same as what the current escalation provisions are in the regulations?
9	
10	ANNA SVIZZERO: Yes.
11	DOMONACA VIENANED A 1-1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1
12	DOUGLAS A. KELLNER: And then we're adding the additional provision that if the margin is less than 1
13	percent they have to do a hand count.
14	ANNA SVIZZERO: Yes.
15	ANNA SVIZZERO: Yes.
16 17	BOB BREHM: And I think the other question that came up during the pilot, just to be clear is, if the 1
18	percentthey wouldn't have to audit all of the extra part of the audit; it's the contest that's within 1
19	percent or the contests. It's not the whole ballot if they have multiple contests.
20	percent of the concests. It's not the whole bandt if they have multiple contests.
21	GREGORY P. PETERSON: Right, agreed. Subject to the addition of Anna's reading, I'd like to make a
22	motion that we approve the Suffolk County Extended Ballot Pilot Project Program Version 06.03.
23	, and the state of
24	JAMES A. WALSH: And a second?
25	
26	DOUGLAS A. KELLNER: Second.
27	
28	GREGORY P. PETERSON: And that that wording be
29	
30	DOUGLAS A. KELLNER: As amended.
31	
32	GREGORY P. PETERSON: As amended.
33	
34	EVELYN J. AQUILA: As amended, okay.
35	
36	JAMES A. WALSH: All right, a motion made and seconded, all in favor?
37	
38	(Chorus of ayes)
39	
40	Opposed?
41	
42	(Silence)
43	Carried none thank you war much Anna
44	Carried, none, thank you very much Anna.

1 2	New Business
3	Resolution to approve HAVA funding for Scytl MOVE Act
5	JAMES A. WALSH: Under New Business, vote on a Resolution to approve HAVA funding for Scytl MOVE ActJohn Conklin, Tom Connolly any comments?
7 8 9	DOUGLAS A. KELLNER: I'll move the resolution.
10	JAMES A. WALSH: and second; all in favor?
11	(Chorus of ayes)
12 13 14	Anyone opposed?
15 16	(Silence)
17 18	Carried.
19	Resolution to approve funding for tasks related to pre-election testing and
20 21	post-election audit research grant
22 23 24	JAMES A. WALSH: Item B - vote on a Resolution to approve funding for tasks related to pre-election testing and post-election audit research grant.
25 26	DOUGLAS A. KELLNER: Do you want to just briefly summarize what we're proposing to do here?
27 28 29 30	ANNA SVIZZERO: We were fortunate enough to have been awarded a grant from the EAC, the amount of the grant is \$230,000. We submitted an application for a blended research project to review the preelection logic and accuracy testing that we do and also to contemplate any new ways to serve the audit intent in the post-election concepts related to elections.
31 32 33	That \$230,000 is not paid out; it is a reimbursable funding stream, so we would have to pay for whatever services, travel or whatever's involved in the work that we do and submit those receipts to the EAC.
34 35 36	So we're simply requesting that we use HAVA money for those purposes and reimburse the HAVA fund with the reimbursement check from the EAC when that arrives.
37 38	DOUGLAS A. KELLNER: So moved.
39 40	JAMES A. WALSH: A second.
41 42	GREGORY P. PETERSON: Second.
43	JAMES A. WALSH: All in favor?

1 2	(Chorus of ayes)
3	Opposed?
4 5	(Silence)
6 7	Carried.
8 9	Resolution to apportion 100 percent of the 2011 Health and Human Services
10	poll site access grant award
11 12 13 14	JAMES A. WALSH: Item C - vote on a Resolution to apportion 100 percent of the 2011 Health and Human Services poll site access grant award. This is over \$695,000any questions we have John Conklin and Ton Connollyif not we'll accept a motion.
15 16 17	DOUGLAS A. KELLNER: Yeah, this is just the formal apportion using the same formula that we've used before. I move the resolution.
18 19 20	GREGORY P. PETERSON: Second.
21	JAMES A. WALSH: All in favor?
22 23	(Chorus of ayes)
24 25	Opposed?
26 27	(Silence)
28 29	Carried.
30 31	Discussion of new affidavit ballot envelopes
32 33 34	JAMES A. WALSH: Item D is discuss and approve the new affidavit ballot envelopes to include new political partiesGreen in particularand any other changes that may be necessary, Anna and Joe Burns?
35 36	EVELYN J. AQUILA: Well, seeing so moved.
37 38	JAMES A. WALSH: Very well.
39 40	DOUGLAS A. KELLNER: Well
41 42	EVELYN J. AQUILA: Do you want to see it?
43 44	DOUGLAS A. KELLNER: No, we have this draft here and as we've discussed this has become somewhat
/1 /1	CONTROLORUS PARTICO TRAPA ARA TITATIA PARALITAR A NUMBAR AT CAMMANTA TRAM GALIARMANT GRAUNC AND

others suggesting that what we really--that the intent of the legislation was to make a single form. And
even on this form it is confusing to voters as to why there would be a voter registration form at the
bottom, so at the very least I would suggest that we need to add language here below Part C and above the
registration form explaining that in the event that there's a determination that you're not validly
registered if you fill out this registration form you should fill out the registration form or language close to

that.

But even better, is that one the registration form we have 12 boxes of items of information that we need and many of those items of information are duplicative of the information that's required in the affidavit ballot. For example: name, address and date of birth. So that instead of having a whole registration form I would only put a list of the items that are not already--of the items that are not duplicative of the name, address and date of birth--with an explanation.

And for that reason I think we're better off waiting before final adoption of the form, but I'm told we need to send something out to the counties for the primary and with the addition of that sentence--well, that's the question, Todd. I would rather work on this to come up with a unified form that more closely tracks the intent of the statute.

TODD D. VALENTINE: Yeah, - -

DOUGLAS A. KELLNER: And to pass it around to the various advocacy groups, so that they can look at it and comment on it and try to have it ready for the July meeting.

TODD D. VALENTINE: I mean, I think the counties would prefer it sooner rather than later as they're doing their print runs, but on the other hand if we circulate the direction that we're heading in which is to try to have a unified form by minimizing any duplication I think you're right. I think we'd be better off taking--because it's obvious people have an interest, more of an interest than I think we expected. I'll be honest with you, I didn't see that coming but that's okay, so be it. We should respond to that though, if people have some ideas we should - - that.

DOUGLAS A. KELLNER: -- The only question is--

BOB BREHM: Pre-clearance.

DOUGLAS A. KELLNER: -- We need pre-clearance and we need something for the primary.

BOB BREHM: And training, the concern is the inspectors have to be trained by July 15th under the statute.

DOUGLAS A. KELLNER: -- But Bob, today why can't we write the sentence—

BOB BREHM: Well, I've written one myself but that doesn't mean—

DOUGLAS A. KELLNER: -- Well, you could read it to us, but then take out the--instead of having a registration form to have the boxes that contain the information that is not already in Part A of this affidavit and—

1	BOB BREHM: Well, I mean if we're going to have a make a comment, if we're going to schedule a
2	meeting in JulyI mean, if we're going to do a form that's going to be temporary for this year while we
3	work for the future. But if we're only going to work on a form in weeks then I don't know that we're able
4	to come up—
5	
6	EVELYN J. AQUILA: With it in time.
7	
8	BOB BREHM: And maybe we can here at the table today; I think Anna and Operations, you know what do
9	we do with the poll worker training, at what point? That the county boards need something to train for the
10	use this fall, now seems that kind of time if we kind of tell them what we're going to do and when we hope
11	to have it done.
12 13	TODD D. VALENTINE: See, I hear the training thing, but I don't understand it, because everybody has this
14	existing process in place now for affidavit ballots.
15	existing process in place now for amalivic bandes.
16	DOUGLAS A. KELLNER: Except New York City.
17	2 0 0 da. 10 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11
18	TODD D. VALENTINE: Well, but they have some process in place.
19	
20	DOUGLAS A. KELLNER: They don't, New York City has nothing in place yet and they're saying that they're
21	not going to doall New York City has is an affidavit ballot form.
22	
23	TODD D. VALENTINE: Right, I mean that's what I'm saying, they have something.
24	
25	DOUGLAS A. KELLNER: Yeah, but they don't have a voter registration form with the
26	
27	BOB BREHM: But we approved that last year and we had pre-clearance on that form last year, so I mean
28	for our purposes we don't have the Green Party, we don't have the right political parties on the voter reg
29	form. If it's until the July meeting I don't know that that's an end all for that kind of an issue.
30	TODO D. VALENTINE. Voy don't need envitagining for that week wight that's
31 32	TODD D. VALENTINE: You don't need any training for that, yeah right that's
33	BOB BREHM: If we're asking for the same kind of things it just
34	DOB BRETIM. If we're asking for the same kind of things it just
35	DOUGLAS A. KELLNER: All right, well then I would say let's do it in July and let's try to put together a
36	usable integrated form, you know, so that their—
37	usable integrated form, you know, so that then—
38	KIMBERLY GALVIN: Just one thing with your explanatory note is I don't knowI don't have the original
39	legislation in front of me, I don't recall if it says, "Shallthe Board shall use that to register the voter."
40	Because if you give them an option of not putting that in what happens with the Board when they get the
41	information and now it's not complete? So the explanation, it shouldn't be that they should just be
42	required to fill in the information.

TODD D. VALENTINE: Yeah, I mean what I would envision is a form that eliminates--it's basically one

form, which will have the additional elements of the affidavit portion in it.

43

44 45

Page 21

1 2	DOUGLAS A. KELLNER: Right.
3	EVELYN J. AQUILA: The only change it really needs
4	
5	TODD D. VALENTINE: And it is really I'm looking at it a different way.
6	
7 8	EVELYN J. AQUILA: two forms that have been out for there for years and putting them on the same envelope, and I know people want to change language and things like that, but it's worked for 75 years.
9	
10	TODD D. VALENTINE: And merging into
11	
12	JAMES A. WALSH: Anna,
13 14	ANNA SVIZZERO: I was just going to offer that doing this in July, if you even got agreement and got a form
15	in July, doesn't leave county boards enough time to incorporate these few changes. Especially in Part B
16 17	and actually printing the forms and getting them labeled and packed gives them their supplies for use in the primary.
18	
19	DOUGLAS A. KELLNER: I understand, so they would be continuing to use the old form until we're able to
20	do that.
21	
22 23	ANNA SVIZZERO: But the old form creates issues in court in Part B, because voters are told right now to check one box when truly there could be several reasons for them having to cast the affidavit ballot.
24	That was an issue in several of the post-election
25	That was an issue in several or the post election.
26	DOUGLAS A. KELLNER: From a Commissioner's point of view what I would rather if we're going to have
27 28	to do something temporary is to put the instruction and then only the information that's not already included, the voter registration information that's not included in the top.
29	meraded, the voter registration mormation that 3 not meraded in the top.
30	And, you know, to answer Kim's question you have 5.210 (5)(m) specifically says that the form of affidavit
31	prescribed by the State Board of Elections for request for affidavit ballot pursuant to the Subdivision 3 of
32	802 shall be deemed to meet the requirements of this section.
33	
34	Any applicationso I realize that that's ambiguous and bad drafting, because I think we—
35	
36	BOB BREHM: It gets worse when you read Article 5 and read what they amended there, because it
37	speaks to the application on the envelope and they adopted them at the same time.
38 39	DOUGLAS A. KELLNER: Right, right.
40	DOUGLIOTH NELLINEN. TAGIN, TIGHT.
41	BOB BREHM: So taking the two together is not artfully instructive.
42	5 · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
43	DOUGLAS A. KELLNER: Right, but I think the legislative intent is clearly that there be a single form, not
14	two forms. And the specific changes that were made last time really override the prior, so that I think you

take it together that we should do the instruction and then do the additional information. And maybe the

thing to do is to try to draft it this week, circulate it before we submit it for final approval to the Justice, but perhaps the Commissioners could bless the concept and let the staff work out the details. So that we could get something out this week without just copying the form this way, which is not particularly usable. **EVELYN J. AQUILA: -- -**KIMBERLY GALVIN: -- - -**DOUGLAS A. KELLNER:** No. **EVELYN J. AQUILA:** -- You don't? **TODD D. VALENTINE:** No. DOUGLAS A. KELLNER: This is very--**TODD D. VALENTINE:** It needs work. **EVELYN J. AQUILA:** -- Well, I wish it wasn't so - -. **DOUGLAS A. KELLNER:** Do you think it's feasible to work on it this week; I mean - - we could start circulating a draft? **TODD D. VALENTINE:** -- This week's tough, but it's not impossible. **KIMBERLY GALVIN:** In fairness to Anna she has been pushing us on this and I for one didn't pay enough attention to it, because I didn't understand the urgency of the ballot prints but--sorry about that Anna. [OVERLAPPING VOICES] **ANNA SVIZZERO:** Thank you for that. **DOUGLAS A. KELLNER:** All right, so my proposal is that the Commissioners authorize the Co-Executive Directors to put together a revised draft and then submit it to the counties and to the Department of Justice. **BOB BREHM:** For comment, for approval? **DOUGLAS A. KELLNER:** Well, first to submit--to circulate the drafts both to the counties and to the advocacy groups, so that they can do comments on it. And then once there's a consensus to submit it to the Department of Justice for pre-clearance. **EVELYN J. AQUILA:** -- I just have one question?

1 2	PAUL COLLINS: It's got to be it has to have been adopted by the Commissioners for us to submit it?
3 4 5	DOUGLAS A. KELLNER: Well, that's where we're authorizing the Co-Executive Directors to do this, so that when the Co-Executive Directors sign off on it, it'll
6 7 8	EVELYN J. AQUILA: I just have one question for you fellows; is this printing still going to be that small? TODD D. VALENTINE: No, it'll be like thiswell not quite this big, but
9 10	EVELYN J. AQUILA: No, well the envelope is big, that's what I was going to say.
11 12	BOB BREHM: I went to bigger paper when we started to cut and paste.
13 14	TODD D. VALENTINE: And that's just a little larger, but an envelope is more like this size.
15 16	EVELYN J. AQUILA: Yes, okay because that is much too small.
17 18	TODD D. VALENTINE: Yeah, that'sonly they could use a small envelope.
19 20 21	BOB BREHM: I think the one area of the statute that is impossible is it says the affidavit form needs to fit an absentee envelope. And I know that that's just a
22 23	DOUGLAS A. KELLNER: Right, you can't do it.
24 25 26 27	BOB BREHM: ridiculous impossibility and the Westchester form that they pointed us to is this size, so I don't think anybody's ever used an absentee envelope. You know, to make it that small that nobody can read it just because that's what the statute says. When we get done maybe they can clean that one up too.
28 29 30 31	DOUGLAS A. KELLNER: Well, certainly that's one of the projects is to rewrite the statute as we do the form. Since we're all looking at it if we have changes to make in the statute it certainly would make sense to put them together now and send them over to the legislature even though the legislature probably wouldn't do it until next year.
32 33 34	[OVERLAPPING VOICES]
35 36 37	All right, so that's my motion is to delegate to the Co-Executive Directors the authority to promulgate the form required by Election Law 8-302.
38 39	GREGORY P. PETERSON: Second.
40 41	JAMES A. WALSH: All in favor?
42 43	(Chorus of ayes)
14	Opposed?

1 2	(Silence)
	Carried before we yet for Evecutive Cossion I went to get our next meeting date and Todd you gold
3	Carriedbefore we vote for Executive Session, I want to set our next meeting date, and Todd you said
4 5	there's some time constraints we have regarding this?
6	DOUGLAS A. KELLNER: Well, and also before the Executive Session I wanted to just discuss the one item
7	on the Fair Campaign Code that's in our Enforcement Division.
8	on the ran dampaigh dode that's in our binoreement bivision.
9	TODD D. VALENTINE: Well, you have your petition, your designating petition filing period is July 11 th to
10	the 14th for this year. Typically we would meet about two weeks after the end of that and
11	the 11 for this year. Typicany we would insect about two weeks after the one of that and
12	EVELYN J. AQUILA: I remember there was a time we didn't meet in July, because of that.
13	We would meet the first week in August.
14	0 · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
15	TODD D. VALENTINE: Well, that would be two weeks after being, it would actually be the last week in
16	July this time. It just depends on where the filing period you're right.
17	
18	EVELYN J. AQUILA: Oh, okay yeah.
19	
20	DOUGLAS A. KELLNER: So do you want Monday or Tuesday?
21	
22	EVELYN J. AQUILA: Tuesday, I don't care, either day.
23	
24	GREGORY P. PETERSON: Well, I can't do Monday so
25	
26	EVELYN J. AQUILA: Okay, Tuesday then.
27	
28	GREGORY P. PETERSON: So the 26th?
29	
30	DOUGLAS A. KELLNER: No, the 31stoh, I'm looking at the wrong year, sorry.
31	
32	I'm sorry.
33	
34	[OVERLAPPING VOICES]
35	
36	TODD D. VALENTINE: Yeah, I was going to say you could go later, but
37	
38	BOB BREHM: August 2 nd iswhat you said, Tuesday?
39	
40	DOUGLAS A. KELLNER: Or do you want Thursday, the 28 th ?
41	TODD D. WALENTINE, Languille and the sale de 20th languille at 1 the 20th languille at 20th languille at 1 the 20th languille at 1 the 20th languille at 1 the 20th languille at 20th languille
42	TODD D. VALENTINE: I would suggest Thursday the 28th, because that Friday is the 54th day before the
43	election, so if we're trying to be consistent with having adopted awell, we're not required statutorily as

of today to have certified a ballot. We should try to start--so the 28th would work.

1 2	JAMES A. WALSH: Is that okay with everyone?
3	BOB BREHM: And this is ourknock on woodslower year for filing.
5	EVELYN J. AQUILA: And it's a Thursday, did you say?
7 8	TODD D. VALENTINE: That's a Thursday, yes.
9	EVELYN J. AQUILA: Okay.
11	JAMES A. WALSH: All right, the next meeting will be Thursday the 28th, the same time around noon right here.
14 15	EVELYN J. AQUILA: July 28th?
l6 l7	TODD D. VALENTINE: Yes.
18	JAMES A. WALSH: Okay, that is settled.
20 21	Commissioner Kellner has something he would like to discuss.
22 23 24 25 26	DOUGLAS A. KELLNER: All right, well in our campaign enforcement cases we have two cases concerning allegations of failure to comply with the provisions of the Fair Campaign Code regarding the filing of polls. That the Fair Campaign Code says that if in any campaign literature a campaign makes reference to polling information that they have to disclose prescribed information about the poll and file it with the State Board of Elections within 24 hours.
28 29 30	And I think we've observed fewer and fewer campaigns complying with that obligation and indeed the number of polls that have been filed have been either zero or one for each of the last few years. So that
31 32	ELIZABETH HOGAN: Well, here
33 34	DOUGLAS A. KELLNER: Go ahead.
35 36 37	ELIZABETH HOGAN: Candidates or whoever is commissioning the poll has to file it in the place where the candidate has to file financial disclosure forms.
38 39	DOUGLAS A. KELLNER: Right, but now most disclosures have to be filed here so
ŀ0 ŀ1	ELIZABETH HOGAN:That's correct, but just
12 13 14	DOUGLAS A. KELLNER: this is the place where they should be filed. And so I just wanted to note that we do have these two cases that we are proceeding with today that deal with this, and to remind the public that this is an obligation and that we are not ignoring that requirement.

```
WILLIAM MCCANN: -- Yes, but it's 48 hours after - -.
 1
 2
 3
      DOUGLAS A. KELLNER: -- I'm sorry.
 4
 5
     WILLIAM MCCANN: -- Well, that's okay.
 6
 7
     DOUGLAS A. KELLNER: Thank you for correcting me, all right.
 8
 9
     GREGORY P. PETERSON: I think that we should probably do something on an informative or educational
10
     basis to let the word out that we're doing that whether it be put in the handbook or otherwise to inform
11
      not only candidates and staff and so forth, but the parties as well. That this is a requirement of the Board
12
     and as a reminder, and I think you'll find that either they'll totally comply and not use it--which is more
13
     likely the case--or that they will file it here.
14
15
     DOUGLAS A. KELLNER: -- Thank you.
16
17
     JAMES A. WALSH: That's a good point.
18
19
     DOUGLAS A. KELLNER: -- All right.
20
21
     EVELYN J. AQUILA: Okay - -
22
23
     DOUGLAS A. KELLNER: Well, with that said I move that we go into Executive Session to deal with the
24
     Campaign Enforcement cases and also to discuss pending litigation.
25
26
     JAMES A. WALSH: A second?
27
28
     GREGORY P. PETERSON: Second.
29
30
     JAMES A. WALSH: All in favor, aye?
31
32
     (Chorus of ayes)
33
34
     Opposed?
35
36
     (Silence)
37
38
     Carried, we are going into Executive Session, thank you everyone.
39
      Executive Session
40
41
42
      [BREAK FOR EXECUTIVE SESSION]
43
44
      [END AUDIO]
```